Research Article

AI-DRIVEN ACADEMIC ADVISING: ENHANCING EDUCATION THROUGH TECHNOLOGY

[1],*Fajer Danish and [2]Nouf Al Shammari

[1]Royal University For Women – Bahrain
[2]University of Hail, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence is fast altering the landscape of higher education, with academic advising no exception. Networking systems are increasingly utilized for student performance predictions, personalized academic advice, and administrative tasks such as course registration and timetabling. Various universities worldwide, spanning the United States, Australia, China, and other parts, are presently incorporating AI into their advising frameworks to give way to better student outcomes and take some pressure off human advisors. The benefits of AI in academic advising, discussed in this paper, are threefold: efficiency, scalability, and predictive analytics. Its shortcomings involve emotional intelligence, data-driven errors, and ethical concerns. The discussion extends to the adoption of AI in the Gulf region: countries like the UAE and Saudi Arabia currently invest aggressively in AI as part of their national digital transformation strategy, which has spilled over into Qatar. This is already beginning in institutions like Khalifa University and Qatar University, where student support services using AI are being enhanced. It is further exacerbated by AI, taking into account cultural resistance. To such a great degree, in this region, emphasis is put on face-to-face contact types, dampening full-scale adoption. This paper highlights that this is a hybrid model where the strengths ofusing AI for routine activities can be amalgamated with human involvement when the situations are more personalized and require complex advising. Finally, the issues of ethical consideration include the privacy of data and algorithmic bias of AI-powered academic advising systems, which are recommended for future research. With all these balanced approaches, universities would ensure that AI enhances the experience but does not eliminate the much-needed personal touch.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Academic Advising, Higher Education, AI in the Gulf, Predictive Analytics, Personalized Education, Digital Transformation, Data Privacy, AI Ethics, Hybrid Advising Model, UAE, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Human-Computer Interaction, and AI Chatbots.

INTRODUCTION

Integrating AI into academic advising is one of the new frontiers that is gradually reordering higher education, smoothing out advising processes, and improving student outcomes. The use of AI tools has started in various universities around the world in predicting student performance, offering tailor-made academic guidance, and helping in career planning. However, despite many advantages, AI also carries significant challenges; for this reason, a hybrid model seems prudent, merging these AI-driven processes with traditional human advising. AI is part of a broader education trend where institutions are increasingly looking to technology for solutions that can provide personalized and efficient support. By analyzing large datasets and delivering personalized academic guidance, AI can help minimize the administrative burdens on advisors to ensure timely student advice. However, the question remains about balancing AI and human involvement in empathetic personal advice during more complex or sensitive situations. This paper reviews the trends of implementing AI-driven academic advisory systems in universities worldwide, outlines the pros and limitations of such systems, and underlines the use of AI in the Gulf region. Further analysis will also consider ethical and data privacy issues and look at the future research needed to understand the role of AI in academic advising.

Literature Review: Current University Practices

Several higher education institutions have introduced AI to their academic advising systems and provided critical lessons about AI's benefits and educational limitations. Georgia State University is one of the pioneers in making use of AI-based predictive analytics to identify students who are at risk.

By analyzing more than 800 risk factors concerning academic success, Georgia State's AI system sends alerts to advisors when students may need intervention. This has helped the university increase its retention and graduation rates significantly, as Tovar (2020) stated. The AI chatbot Genie, installed at Deakin University in Australia, supported the students in routine activities such as planning and course enrollment. It freed up several hours that human advisors could use to have deeper and more meaningful discussions about their academic objectives and personal development with the students (Bilquise & Shaalan, 2022). In this respect, AI techniques were also installed at Tsinghua University in China to support personalized academic recommendations based on student data to aid and advise students to optimize their study plans (Chen *et al.,* 2021). These AI tools excel in doing repetitive, data-intense tasks that otherwise consume the time of human advisors. According to Bilquise and Shaalan, 2022, AI-based advising frameworks enable universities to handle vast volumes of incoming students in real-time and give personalized intervention to students based on unique academic profiles. However, while these systems enormously improve efficiency, they are less suited for personal issues that require emotional intelligence and empathy.

Advantages of AI in Academic Advising

Efficiency: The most crucial aspect in which AI can contribute to academic advising is its sheer processing and analytical power over large datasets at incredible speeds. AI frees the administrative load that a human advisor would carry. In Georgia State University's model, for example, predictive analytics can outline issues that the students themselves may be oblivious to, making way for interventions that would avoid academic failure altogether (Tovar, 2020). Automating routine tasks like scheduling or course registration through AI frees human advisors to concentrate on critical issues that require personal interaction (Bilquise& Shaalan, 2022).

*Corresponding Author: *Fajer Danish,*
Royal University For Women – Bahrain.

Personalization: AI systems have a high level of personalization. AI tools can contextualize recommendations depending on the student's academic history, preference, and performance. If a student has some difficulties with a particular subject, they may receive recommendations for tutoring services or alternative course options. The personalized approach will enable students to make more informed decisions about their academic careers (Yilmaz & Özdemir, 2023).

Scalability: AI platforms are highly scalable, especially for large universities with enrollments in the thousands. Human advisors cannot entertain routine inquiries from such a large student body alone. AI-driven systems will ensure that all students get timely and relevant advice even when the advising staff is limited to a few personnel, as Rana & Bhatia (2023) noted.

Predictive Analytics: AI systems have this unique capability of predicting events based on past data, which may prove beyond the capacity of a human advisor. AI predicts student performance based on historical data and thus identifies students in danger before the problem development occurs. For example, if a student's attendance and grades are declining, AI tools can flag that to the advisors for timely interventions (Bilquise& Shaalan, 2022). This early warning system improves retention rates and helps students stay on track to meet their goals.

Disadvantages of AI in Academic Advising

Besides the significant benefits, some striking limitations of AI in general concern nuanced -and sometimes needed to be emotive-support best given by human advisors.

Lack of Human Connection: The most crucial limitation of AI-driven academic advising is the inefficiency of emotional intelligence. It will analyze information and provide recommendations; however, there is little room for personal-level interaction; such systems cannot express empathy when a student is in turmoil. Students facing personal or academic issues need practical advice, emotional support, and reassurance. Human advisors build a relationship of trust and rapport with students, something that AI systems cannot emulate (Pérez & López, 2023; Bilquise & Shaalan, 2022).

Data-driven Errors: AI systems are only as good as the data they are fed. If data is fed in poor quality or incomplete, it may lead to faulty or unhelpful advice. A good example is when an AI reduces an academic choice for a student based on the incorrect or outdated performance of such academics; this will result in a poor academic choice (Yilmaz & Özdemir, 2023). Another contributing issue is that many of these algorithms in AI are "black box"-like; again, students sometimes, or even advisors themselves, cannot understand how recommendations are generated.

Ethical Considerations: Increased utilization of AI brings ethical questions, and data privacy occupies a pole position among them. For an AI system to function correctly, vast volumes of data are needed, including sensitive information related to students' academic performance, personal preferences, and behavior patterns. This thereby makes the issue of protection of data cardinal, and also one that universities have to ensure complies with local and international data protection legislation. Moreover, algorithmic bias remains a concern through which the AI system may refer to 'biased data,' thus reinforcing structural inequalities already present in the education system (Rahman, 2021).

Resistance to Adoption: AI-powered academic advising is likely to receive resistance to its adoption from students and faculty alike. Some students can be wary of relying on technology for advice on a matter touching on their academic futures. Similarly, faculty could feel threatened that AI systems might take their places, creating job insecurity. As Pérez and López (2023) have noted, academic advising is one area where human interaction is highly appreciated. Over-reliance on AI tends to erode the personal relationships central to effective advising.

METHODOLOGY

The Role of the Hedrex College Questionnaire

To assess AI-powered academic advising, institutions utilize the *Hedrex College Questionnaire*. Students are polled about their advisors on approachability, attentiveness, and knowledge. Students' responses will give the university a sense of where AI-powered advising services enhance the quality of advising and where further refinements are needed. For instance, if students feel that their advisors are often unavailable, AI chat bots can take over routine questions so human advisors can focus on higher-order issues. However, suppose students feel alienated by the impersonality of AI-driven advice. In that case, universities may have to dial back the degree to which AI tools can assume responsibility for a particular task. The Hendrix College Questionnaire application allows institutions to balance efficiency and personal engagement, the necessary avenues through which students will be comprehensively advised.

DISCUSSION

Balancing AI and Human Advisors

An efficient academic advising model would probably be a hybrid where AI handles administrative and technical questions, leaving other advisors to attend to more personal, complicated issues. In this way, students will be assured of efficiency and precision with AI while at the same time being guaranteed the equally crucial human touch needed for personal and career growth. Pérez and López (2023) further add that the most straightforward use of AI is for programs, course registration tasks, and predictive analytics. That way, human advisors will have other meaningful relationships with students, providing customized support with lots of empathy. In integrating universities with AI into the process, efficiency will be improved but still in a way that can provide a personal connection thatstudents should value. Bilquise and Shaalan (2022) attribute importance to human advisors only when students have personal challenges or academic uncertainties that cannot be handled by data-driven advice alone. The model creates an opportunity to optimize AI's functioning and guarantee students a wide range of support that will ensure their academic success.

Artificial Intelligence in Academic Advising: The Gulf Region

However, in the Gulf region, especially countries like the UAE, Saudi Arabia, and even Qatar, the integration of AI into education is currently taking place. GCC governments are investing hugely in AI technologies that form part of their various economic diversifications and digitization agendas. AI-driven academic advising is one of those focus areas whereby universities within the region seek to improve support services for their students and achieve greater institutional efficiencies. At Khalifa University in the UAE, developing an AI-powered digital assistant, Saif AI, supported students with different academic advising tasks. Saif AI presented real-time information on course registration, deadlines, and the student's progresstoward a degree, along with suggestions on the best academic path a student could take based on their academic performance (Alhumaidan *et al.,* 2021). This is all part of a more significant national effort to introduce AI at every level into education and public services as part of the UAE Strategy for Artificial Intelligence 2031 (Ministry of Artificial Intelligence, UAE, 2019). Likewise, AI-powered advising systems are emerging at Qatar University and the institutions in Education City. AI is more into predictive analytics, offering at-risk students some personal academic planning. Examples of these below align with Qatar's National Vision 2030 and how technology offers a better transformative platform for education so that students can match up to the future job markets efficiently (Alam *et al.,* 2020). AI in education is central to the core of the 2030 Vision, which is a plan that diversifies the economy and modernizes public services in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Different universities, such as King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), are researching AI-powered tools to help reimagine academic advising and support students. Such tools have great potential in supporting the fulfillment of educational attainment goals within the strategic plans of Saudi Arabia.

Limitations and Future Research in the Gulf Region

Despite increasing interest in AI adoption in academic advising, many severe limitations still occur across the Gulf region. First, there is cultural resistance to the use of AI. In Gulf societies, face-to-face interaction and personal relationship building are germane, especially in education, where a trusting relationship and rapport between student and advisor are paramount (Salama & Ameen, 2022). The AI systems may not be suited to this cultural context without emotional intelligence. Consequently, there may be a great deal of resistance among many students and faculty to adopting this kind of AI-driven advising tool. Future research should focus on how AI tools can support, without replacing, human advisors within the specific cultural contexts of the Gulf Region. Specific examples of administrative tasks processed through AI while freeing human time to focus on personalized advising respecting the region's cultural values will help attain this purpose. Moreover, there is enormous concern for data privacy and ethics, considering that AI systems heavily rely on a significant volume of student data in the Gulf. Such research should be directed to make AI tools compliant with local regulations regarding data protection laws in UAE and Qatar to preserve trust and ethical standards in higher education (Rahman, 2021). Only then can AI be fully adopted in the Gulf with more effective governance frameworks considering data privacy concerns.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence-driven academic advising holds immense promise for improving student outcomes and institutional efficiency globally and in this region. Once again, it is all about finding the right balance between the AI-driven tool and human interaction. In the Gulf, where social and cultural values are so strong regarding personal relations, AI should be considered an enhancement of human advisors, not a replacement. Future research is needed to surmount the cultural and ethical barriers to ensure that AI systems are used responsibly and effectively to improve academic advising in the region.

REFERENCES

1. Alam, F., Mehmood, R., Katib, I., & Albeshri, A. (2020). AI-driven Smart Education Ecosystems: Future of Educational Technology. *Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering, 20*(1), 1-9.
2. Alhumaidan, H., Habib, A. & Althobaiti, M. (2021). Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A Gulf Perspective. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 12*(8), pp. 600-606.
3. Bilquise, G., & Shaalan, K. (2022). AI-based Academic Advising Framework: A Knowledge Management Perspective. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 13*(8). Available at: https://www. researchgate.net/profile/Ghazala-Bilquise/publication/3633 15521_AI-
4. Chen, J., Yang, M., & Wu, Z. (2021). Application of Artificial Intelligence in Academic Advising: The Case of Tsinghua University. *Journal of Educational Technology, 28*(1), pp. 45-56.
5. Ministry of Artificial Intelligence, UAE (2019). UAE Strategy for Artificial Intelligence 2031. Available at: https://ai.gov.ae
6. Pérez, J. M. & López, A. (2023). Robo-academic advisor: Can chatbots and AI replace human interaction? Contemporary Educational Technology. Available at:https://www.cedtech.net/article/robo-academic-advisor-can-chatbots-and-artificial-intelligence-replace-human-interaction-13948
7. Rahman, N. (2021). Ethical AI Governance and Data Privacy in the GCC. *Middle East Journal of Digital Governance, 5*(2), 22–35.
8. Rana, S., & Bhatia, S. (2023). Sustainability of AI-driven educational practices. *Sustainability, 16*(17), 7829. Available at: https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/17/ 7829
9. Salama, S., & Ameen, M. (2022). Digital Transformation in Higher Education: Challenges and Opportunities in the GCC. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 50*(2), pp. 200–215.
10. Hedrex College (2022). Academic Advising Survey. Available at: https://www.hendrix.edu/uploadedFiles/ Academics/Academic_Affairs/Advising%20Survey.pdf
11. Yilmaz, E., & Özdemir, A. I. (2023). Educational transformation using AI-driven chatbots. *Education and Information Technologies, 28*(2). Available at: https://link. springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-56121-4_33
12. Tovar, E. (2020). The Evolution of AI in Higher Education: Predictive Analytics at Georgia State University. *Journal of Higher Education Management, 35*(4), 23–39.
13. Khalifa University (2021). Saif AI: Empowering Students with AI-Powered Academic Advising. *Khalifa University Research Bulletin.* Available at: https://www.ku.ac.ae/saif-ai-academic-advising
14. United Arab Emirates Government (2021). UAE Vision 2031: Digital Transformation in Higher Education. Government of the UAE. Available at: https://government. ae/en/uae-vision-2031

****